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Abstract: Social media use has grown tremendously and has led to research in terms of its addictive power. The purpose of this study was to explore the link among social media addiction, critical thinking, and achievement emotions. Using path analysis, a sample was drawn from 229 university students. The results indicated that social media addiction has a strong negative relationship with achievement emotions and a weak negative relationship with critical thinking. Critical thinking has a weak positive relationship with achievement emotions. The final model with critical thinking and social media addiction explains 27% of the variance of achievement emotions. This indicates that students should exercise care with their social media use as individuals who agree with statements indicating addiction also agree with statements related to having negative emotions towards achievement in an academic context.
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INTRODUCTION

Social media use continues to grow at unprecedented rates with over two billion users worldwide representing 28% of the entire population (Statista, 2018). College students spend approximately two hours a day using social media and half of the users state that it would be difficult to give up social media (Smith & Anderson, 2018; Go-Global, 2014). The results of such continuous use of social media has led to such psychological strain as guilt, depression, isolation, and even
such physical maladies as headaches, carpal tunnel syndrome, and blurred vision (PsychGuides, 2018). Several studies have found that the emotional state of a student can affect their academic performance (Goetz et al., 2012; Pekrun, Goetz, Daniels, Stupinsky, & Perry, 2010; Pekrun, Hall, Goetz, & Perry, 2014). Therefore, it is critical to explore the potential if there is a link between social media addiction and the emotions students portray when placed in an academic environment such as the classroom. However, the literature has focused extensively on looking at academic performance (Lau, 2017; Lepp, Barkley, & Karpinski, 2015; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). Furthermore, there is a large body of research that examines social media addiction but not as strong a body of literature that looks at social media addiction’s relationship with critical thinking and achievement emotions.

There are pressing demands for students to acquire critical thinking skills during their tertiary studies (Freedberg, 2016). Currently, the success of teaching critical thinking in college is mixed with conflicting reports (Belkin, 2017; Lane & Oswald, 2016). Few studies have considered the interplay of social media addiction, critical thinking, and the emotions students experience when achieving academic goals (Ranellucci, Geotz, & Hall, 2015). In addition, Thailand is one of the top users of social media in the world while also struggling with the development of critical thinking at the tertiary level (Fernquest, 2017; Leesa-Nguansak, 2018). This indicates that social media addiction may be a problem in the context of Thailand due to the high usage rate of social media in combination with developing critical thinking skills.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to explain the relationship achievement emotions has with social media addiction and critical thinking among university students. Understanding this phenomenon can provide educators and even students with information on how social media use and critical thinking are related with emotions, which can help with decision making of the appropriateness of social media use in conjunction with academic studies in particular.

CRITICAL THINKING

Critical thinking has been defined as being aware of one’s own thought process and what one is trying to do or achieve (Andolina, 2002). It involves reflecting upon what has happened and considering ways to improve (Halpern, 2013). Elder and Paul (2010) have proposed six stages of critical thinking development. In the process of going from an unreflective thinker to an accomplished thinker, a person moves from being unaware of their own thinking to being highly in tune with their thoughts and always seeking to refine and clarify them. Reflective thinking is one
form of critical thinking and this has led to confusion among many (Elder & Paul, 2010).

Bloom’s taxonomy is another commonly used tool for explaining critical thinking (Adams, 2015). As one moves from the bottom of the taxonomy to the top more and more critical thinking is needed. Generally, the higher order thinking levels (analysis, evaluation, and creation) are considered the levels at which critical thinking take place. Common teaching strategies that encourage critical thinking include problem solving, flipping the classroom, group work and explicit instruction (Fung & Howe, 2014; Heijltjes, van Gog, & Paas, 2014; Kong, 2014). Lastly, a critical thinker has been found as someone who can communicate clearly, distinguish between facts and opinions, draw logical conclusion, is a convergent learner, and able to control their emotions (Belkin, 2017; Ghazivakli et al., 2014). This indicates that if social media leads to emotional issues it could be associated with the ability to think critically.

Bukist and Irons (2008) found several barriers to critical thinking for students and for teachers who are attempting to teach this skill. Barriers for students include a focus on memorising, familiarity with being told what to do, as well, as a lack of time and or knowledge to think critically. For teacher, teaching critical thinking was impeded by the difficulty in assessing it, lack of pedagogical knowledge for addressing critical thinking, and the problem that many teachers are not critical thinkers.

Students who are not native speakers of English can face additional challenges with developing critical thinking skills if they study at university in a second language. Within the context of ESL, it has been found that limited language skills can affect participation in activities that encourage critical thinking (DeWaelsche, 2015; Luk & Lin, 2015). In addition, critical thinking has been found to predict language learning (Afshar & Movassagh, 2017). Therefore, universities with a large population of second language users, such as international universities in Thailand, are given the additional challenge and burden of developing critically thinkers who are trying to learn to think critically in a second language when they may not know how to think critically in their mother tongue.

There are also unique characteristics to thinking critically in Asia. In China, there has been criticism of the exam-focused nature of Chinese K-12 education with the constant focus on testing impeding critical thinking skills (Hernandez, 2016). However, Lam (2016) argues against the notion that the Confucian culture of China is less rational than the West. Even though it is not conclusive either way if there is a cultural influence that is able to affect critical thinking in an Asian context,
one study did find that graduate students in the Asian context lacked the critical thinking skills needed for advance studies (Nankervis, Prikshat, & Cameron, 2018). As the context of this study is Thailand it is possible that cultural factors may influence perceptions of critical thinking.

**SOCIAL MEDIA ADDICTION**

There are several definitions of social media addiction. For example, social media addiction is the inability of a person to control their social media use (Hope, 2018). Another definition of social media addiction is the excess use of social media until it impedes other basic functions such as sleeping and eating (van Dijk, 2012). What these definitions indicate is that addiction indicates a lost of control over whatever is being used in excess.

Social media is commonly access through the cellphone and computer (Longstreet & Brooks, 2017; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). The pervasiveness of cellphones in particular often makes it difficult to abstain from the use of social media and people who access social media through their cellphones were more likely to become addicted to their phones (Jeong, Kim, Yum, & Hwang, 2016; Saad, 2015). Symptoms of social media addiction include physical problems such as blurred vision, weight gain or loss, and headaches as well, as psychological problems such as agitation, anxiety, isolation, and even a loss of time and inability to complete work (PsychGuides, 2018). In general, social media addiction is usually negatively associated with life satisfaction (Longstreet & Brooks, 2017).

Andreassen et al. (2016) found that additive technology, such as social media, can contribute to mental disorder symptoms. Social media addiction has also been linked with interfering with human relationships by influencing conversation quality, connection and closeness between people (Przybylski & Weinstein, 2012). Even increasing face-to-face contact with other people can be neutralised if there is extensive social contact online through such a platform as social media (Yao & Zhong, 2014). Lastly, the use of smartphones for the purpose of sociability was positively associated shyness (Bian & Leung, 2015).

The effect of social media on academic performance is mixed (Lau, 2017; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). Several studies found a negative association between smartphones (a platform for social media) and academic performance (Lepp et al., 2015; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). However, Lau (2017) found that only social media use for non-academic purposes was associated with academic performance. Students are convinced that their social media use has no effect on their academic performance.
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(Ozer, Karpinski, & Kirschner, 2014). However, denial is often linked with addiction (Colder Carras et al., 2018).

ACHIEVEMENT EMOTIONS

Achievement emotions are emotions in an academic context that are tied directly to academic activities and or academic outcomes (Pekrun, Frenzel, Goetz, & Perry, 2007). The concept of achievement emotions is derived from Control-value theory which states that achievement emotions can be explained by an individual’s valence (positive vs. negative emotions), activation (activating vs. deactivating emotions), and focus (activity vs. outcome goals) (Pekrun et al., 2007).

In the educational context, teaching skills, feedback and homework have all been linked with achievement emotions (Goetz et al., 2012; Muntaner-Mas, Vidal-Conti, Sese, & Palou, 2017; Pekrun, Cusack, Murayama, Elliot, & Thomas, 2014). Teaching skills in combination with positive emotions have been linked with academic control (Muntaner et al., 2017). The conditions of feedback have also been found to be related to test-related emotions (Pekrun et al., 2014). Lastly, the primary emotion students experience when completing homework or attending class is boredom (Goetz et al., 2012).

Emotions have been linked with academic performance as well. Boredom negatively predicts academic performance (Pekrun et al., 2010). However, enjoyment and pride are positive predictors of academic performance (Van der Beek, Van der Ven, Kroesbergen, & Leseman, 2017; Pekrun, Lichtenfeld, Marsh, Murayama, & Goetz, 2017; Villavicencio & Bernardo, 2013). The form of assessment can also affect emotions. Assessment that contributes to moral development is viewed positively by students while negative emotions were associated with evaluating the school and or teacher’s performance (Chen & Brown, 2018).

The extant literature has not focused much on achievement emotions and social media as one common focus currently is academic performance (Lau, 2017; Lepp et al., 2015; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). In addition, other research has examined emotions but has not included the context of academic achievement (Andreassen et al., 2016). This study will strive to bridge the gap between academic achievement and emotions as they related to social media addiction and critical thinking.

Based on this review of literature, the following questions were formulated. Figure 1 is the initial structural model. This model depicts the hypothetical
relationships between social media addiction, critical thinking, and achievement emotions.

1. What are the perceptions of the respondents in terms of social media addiction, critical thinking, and achievement emotions?

2. Is there a difference in the perception of the respondents in terms of social media addiction, critical thinking, and achievement emotions based on sub groups determined by demographic variables?

3. Is achievement emotions linked with critical thinking and social media addiction?

4. Is critical thinking linked with social media addiction?

Figure 1. Initial structural model

METHODOLOGY

The population of this study was university students in Thailand. The setting of this study was a university located in Thailand. The sample of 230 respondents was derived using stratified sampling based on gender from one Thai university. In the sample, 61% were female and 39% were male. The discrepancy in the number of men and women in the sample is consistent with the population of the study. For
class level, 35% were freshman, 19% were sophomores, 25% were juniors, and 21% were seniors. In terms of major, business made up of 23%, education (18%), English (47%), religion (6%) and science (6%). The setting was selected due to the high concentration of university students who generally use social media and are in an environment that is trying to develop critical thinking skills with an emphasis on achievement.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND INSTRUMENTS

A cross-sectional survey was used in this study. The data was collected by the researcher at the setting through distributing the survey to participants at the university. Data collection took approximately three weeks to complete. The instrument used in this study consisted of two sections. Section 1 addressed demographic variables such as class level, gender, and major. Section 2 of the instrument consisted of 53 Likert-type statements that measure each respondents’ perception of one of the three observed variables of this study (critical thinking, social media disorder, and achievement emotions). The Likert-scale used for the observed variables was a 5-point scale: 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neutral), 4 (agree), 5 (strongly agree).

The critical thinking scale was developed by Mincemoyer, Perkins and Munyua (2001). This scale measures attitudes and behaviours toward critical thinking as perceived by the respondents. Sample items include “I am able to give reasons for my opinions.” and “I compare ideas when thinking about a topic.” The 20-item scale has a Cronbach alpha of 0.90.

The social media disorder scale was developed by van den Eijnden, Lemmens and Valkenburg (2016). This scale measures attitudes toward symptoms of social media addiction as perceived by the respondents. Sample items include “I often feel bad when I cannot use social media.” and “I often used social media to escape from negative feelings.” The 9-item scale has a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.77.

The achievement emotions scale was developed by Pekrun, Goetz, Titz and Perry (2002). This scale measures attitudes and behaviors toward emotions experience in an educational context as perceived by the respondents. Sample items include “I get bored in class.” and “I get tense and nervous while studying.” The 24-item scale has a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.82.
Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated, as well as \( t \)-test and ANOVA for the purpose of comparisons based on subgroups derived from the demographic variables. A model was developed using path analysis, which is a form of structural equation modelling (SEM).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The descriptive results indicated for social media addiction that the students disagree with the statements that indicate symptoms of social media addiction (\( M = 2.48, SD = 0.58 \)). Students stated in Item 2 that they disagree that they “often feel bad when [they] cannot use social media” (\( M = 2.47, SD = 0.93 \)). However, students were neutral in their response to Item 4 that they “try to spend less time on social media but fail” (\( M = 3.10, SD = 1.02 \)).

For critical thinking students moderately agreed that they use critical thinking skills (\( M = 3.61, SD = 0.48 \)). For example, in Item 40, students agreed that “it is important for [them] to get information to support [their] conclusion” (\( M = 3.85, SD = 0.71 \)). However, students were more neutral in their response to Item 52 that indicated they are able to “develop a checklist to help [them] think about an issue” (\( M = 3.24, SD = 0.86 \)).

In regards to achievement emotions, students were neutral towards the statements (\( M = 3.40, SD = 0.39 \)). Students indicate in Item 13 that they are “[not] angry after attending class” (\( M = 3.94, SD = 0.98 \)). In addition, students indicated in item 29 that they disagree that they “feel very relieved after an exam” (\( M = 2.28, SD = 0.99 \)).

For comparisons by groups, no difference was found by gender or class for critical thinking, social media addiction, or achievement emotions. There was a difference by major for social media addiction \([F(4, 212) = 4.06, p < 0.01]\). Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean score for the English majors (\( M = 2.61, SD = 0.58 \)) was significantly different from Business majors (\( M = 2.25, SD = 0.55 \)).

The results of the structural model indicated a good fit \( \chi^2 (0) = 0, p < .05 \), Tucker–Lewis Index (TLI) = 1.0, incremental fit index (IFI) = 1.0, comparative fit index (CFI) = 1.0, root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.00, 95% confidence interval (CI) [0.00, 0.00].
The structural model assessed the correlations between the observed variables. It was found that critical thinking is directly linked positively with achievement emotions ($b = 0.22$, $t(229) = 4.58, p < 0.01$). Social media addiction was also found to be directly associated negatively with achievement emotions ($b = -0.19$, $t(229) = -7.20, p < 0.01$). Together, critical thinking and social media addiction explain 27% of the variance of achievement emotions. In addition, social media addiction is directly linked negatively with critical thinking ($b = -0.11$, $t(229) = -3.09, p < 0.01$) and explains 4% of the variance of critical thinking. Table 1 shows the means, standard deviations and correlations among the observed variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-0.46**</td>
<td>[-0.55, -0.35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement emotions</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical thinking</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.20**</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * indicates $p < .05$; ** indicates $p < .01$. M and SD are used to represent mean and standard deviation, respectively. Values in square brackets indicate the 95% confidence interval for each correlation.

There were several significant findings in this study. First, social media addiction is negatively linked to achievement emotions and critical thinking. Based on the responses indicate on the survey this means that if a person agrees that they struggle to spend less time with social media, use social media to escape negative feelings, and or neglect other activities due to social media use these same individuals are more inclined to disagree that they enjoy being in class, are confident when they go to class, as well, as agree that they are bored with class, and annoyed after an exam. Other studies have examined social media addiction and its role in academic performance (Lau, 2017; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). In addition, other studies have also found and agree with this study that addictions like social media can affect emotional well-being (Andreassen et al., 2016; Thomas, 2016). However, this paper provides evidence of a strong negative link between social media addiction and emotions associated with achievement in an academic context.

Second, social media was also negatively linked with critical thinking. What this means is that as student agreed more with statements that identified symptoms of social media addiction they were less likely to agree that they identify options when facing a problem, are able to give reasons for their opinion, and or put their ideas in order by importance. Few studies have examined critical thinking, as it is associated with social media addiction as the focus of many studies has been upon...
academic performance (Lepp et al., 2015; Samaha & Hawi, 2016). This has been the focus despite the fact that critical thinking is often a prerequisite for academic performance (Andolina, 2002; Bukist & Irons, 2008). This study has found a weak negative association between social media addiction and critical thinking. Therefore, this study has uncovered an association that has not been examined closely in prior research.

A third finding was a weak positive relationship between critical thinking and achievement emotions. This indicates that students who agree with statements that indicate critical thinking skills also often agree with statements that show positive emotions towards achievement. There are several studies that have identified how emotions affect thinking and this study validates prior work (Chien Chih, Mei Yao, Chung Ju, Lu, & HsinYu, 2015; Muis, Psaradellis, Lajoie, Di Leo, & Chevrier, 2015). In addition, there is even evidence that critical thinkers are able to have control of their emotions (Belkin, 2017; Ghazivakli et al., 2014). However, this study has provided evidence that critical thinkers are sometimes individuals with positive emotions in relation to achievement in an academic context.

A fourth lesser finding is the lack of a difference by gender for critical thinking, social media addiction, and achievement emotions. There are several older studies that support that there is no difference by gender in terms of critical thinking (Kuhn, 1992; Magolda, 1992). The lack of differences for social media addiction and achievement emotions may be cultural. Thailand is one of the top ten social media use countries in the world indicating that heavy use for the typical user is common (Leesa-Nguansak, 2018). For emotions, culturally, Thailand tends to exercise restraint in expressing and communicating emotions (Komin, 1991). Therefore, the responses on the survey may have been suppressed by this.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

One recommendation for consideration is that caution should be taken in regards to the use of social media among students. This is based on the strong negative association of social media addiction with critical thinking and achievement emotions. Given that this study explained half the variance of achievement emotions with social media addiction and critical thinking it appears that if someone is struggling with social media, they may also be struggling with having positive emotions about achievement.

A second recommendation is that institutions should have mechanisms to avoid heavy integration of social media into the learning experiences due to the negative
relationship it has with critical thinking and achievement emotions. Often it is possible to integrate social media into the learning management system of the campus that is used for online learning (Guess, 2008). However, this should be done with restraint so that students are not overly exposed to a tool that can at times have a detrimental effect. With this in mind, it is necessary to employ cooperation rather than conflict when considering the use of social media in education in order to provide an experience in which students can learn through this medium when necessary.

A third recommendation which relates to further studies is that it would be beneficial to develop experimental studies that manipulate social media use to determine its influence on academic performance, emotions, and or critical thinking. Establishing a cause and effect relationship would help to make a stronger conclusion for or against the use of social media among students. Lastly, this study was correlational and cannot determine conclusively cause and effect.

This study’s purpose was to explain achievement emotions through its relationship with social media addiction and critical thinking. Critical thinking played a minor role in achievement emotions. However, social media addiction has a strong negative association with achievement emotions and a weak negative association with critical thinking. This indicates that at a minimum caution should be exercise with the use of social media among students.
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